Phase behavior of the polymer/drug system PLA/DEET: Effect of PLA molar mass on subambient liquid-liquid phase separation
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Abstract

The phase behavior of binary mixtures of non-crystallizable racemic poly (D, L-lactic acid) (PDLLA) and the mosquito-repellent/drug $N,N$-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET) was analyzed with respect to the effect of the polymer molar mass on the liquid-liquid (L–L) phase separation characteristics, by cloud-point measurements and differential scanning calorimetry. The PDLLA/DEET system shows a subambient upper critical solution temperature (UCST), with the critical temperature decreasing and critical polymer concentration increasing with decreasing molar mass of PDLLA. The obtained L–L phase separation curves were used to estimate the temperature-dependence of the interaction parameter, confirming that the enhanced miscibility of the system components in case of low molar mass PDLLA is due to increased entropy of mixing.

Keywords: PLA/DEET phase diagram; liquid-liquid phase separation, Flory-Huggins interaction parameter
Introduction

Poly (lactic acid) (PLA) is an environmentally-friendly, linear and aliphatic polyester which is produced from annually renewable resources. It is therefore gaining increasing interest as a potential replacement for petroleum-based polymers in many areas of application. Specific uses of PLA are related to its compostability/degradability, food safety compliance, and biocompatibility/bioresorbability. As such, PLA can also be applied in the fields of implants, tissue engineering, or as a carrier for controlled drug delivery [1–7].

Scaffolds for tissue regeneration [8] or for drug delivery [9] are often produced by a thermally-induced phase separation process (TIPS). In this process a polymer solution transforms into a multiphase system with the transition controlled by temperature [10, 11]. Separation of the solution into polymer-rich and solvent-rich phases may occur by crystallization, being then classified as a solid-liquid (S–L) separation, or by liquid-liquid (L–L) demixing [12, 13]. A recent study showed that PLA dissolves at elevated temperature in N,N-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET) and that a microporous scaffold-like structure can be obtained via S–L demixing [14]. DEET is known as a highly effective mosquito repellent [15, 16], and it has been proposed that the system PLA/DEET can be used as a controlled-release device. In such a device, the TIPS process causes the active (DEET) to be trapped inside a microporous polymer matrix (PLA). The repellent can then be gradually delivered to the environment, with the evaporation rate expected to be adjustable by the crystallization-controlled scaffold morphology. A similar approach of forming a microporous polymer structure via TIPS for the system polyethylene/citronellal employed instead L–L demixing followed by crystallization [17].

With the study presented here, we attempt to further explore the phase behavior of the mosquito repellent-delivery system PLA/DEET. In previous work, solvent (DEET) rich solutions of crystallizable poly (L-lactic acid) (PLLA) were prepared and S–L demixing on cooling the solutions by crystallization of the polymer component was analyzed [14]. For observation of L–L demixing temperatures in the present study, a non-crystallizable random copolymer containing L- and D-units (PDLLA) was employed, with the advantage that the L–L phase separation then cannot be superimposed/masked by crystallization. It has been found that for the intended use of PLA as DEET drug carrier, crystallizable grades must be used since the L–L phase separation temperature is slightly below ambient; in other words non-crystallizable PLA forms a homogeneous solution with DEET at ambient temperature. For
analysis of L–L demixing a PDLLA grade with a specific mass-average molar mass of 262 kDa was used. However, according to Huggins [18] and Flory [19], the molar mass is expected to control the thermodynamics of the phase behavior via its effect on the entropy of mixing. The system PLA/DEET exhibits an upper critical solution temperature (UCST), and for such systems it is in general predicted that the critical temperature decreases with decreasing polymer molar mass, concomitant with a shift of the critical polymer concentration to higher values [20, 21]. Examples of systematic analyses of the effect of the polymer molar mass on the UCST-behavior include studies on the systems polyisobutylene/diisobutyl ketone [20], polystyrene/cyclohexane [20–22], poly (ethylene glycol)/water [22], or polypropylene/diphenyl ether [23].

It has been shown that porous PLA for application as scaffold or membrane can be obtained via TIPS, using organic solvents such as dioxane/water [10, 24], chloroform/methanol [25], tetrahydrofuran (THF) [26, 27], or dichloromethane/hexane [28]. In neither case the effect of molar mass of PLA on the L–L demixing behavior was explored, even though this parameter may have advantageously been used to tailor the demixing characteristics and with that the morphology of the polymer phase. The present study is therefore a first attempt to explore the effect of the molar mass of a PLA-based polymer/solvent system on the L–L phase separation in the specific system PLA/DEET. It is considered as a continuation of our prior research efforts to explore possible applications of that system as a drug-delivery device, focusing here on identification of a critical molar mass needed to shift the UCST to above ambient, being a prerequisite for convenient preparation and usage of such device. Furthermore, composition-dependent L–L demixing temperature will be used to obtain estimates of the Flory-Huggins interaction parameter.

Experimental

Materials and preparation
The study was performed using non-crystallizable ester-terminated random D-/L-lactic acid copolymers (PDLLA) of different molar mass, synthesized from a racemic mixture of L- and D-stereoisomers, and obtained from Evonik Nutrition & Care GmbH (Germany) [29]. The molar mass of the various PDLLA samples was determined by Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) using a Viscotek GPCmax VE2001 system with a Viscotek VE3580 refractive index detector. A buffer solution of dimethylformamide (DMF) containing 10 mM lithium bromine was used as an eluent, with a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. The samples were
dissolved in the mobile phase at ambient temperature and injected into a 25 °C thermostated PSS PolarSil GPC column with a length and diameter of 300 mm and 8 mm, respectively. The particle size and the porosity were 5 µm and 300 Å, respectively. The measurements were performed at 25 °C using a PMMA standard for calibration. Results of the GPC analysis are shown together with intrinsic-viscosity data in the list of samples in Table 1. \(N,N\)-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET) with a purity of 97 % was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received without further purification [30]. The PDLLA copolymers were obtained as flakes and were dissolved in DEET inside closed 4 mL glass vials within few minutes at 80–100 °C, using a Thermo Scientific Reacti-Therm block heater/stirrer. Solutions with a polymer concentration of 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 25, and 30 %m were prepared.

Table 1: Intrinsic viscosity (IV) (measured at 25 °C in chloroform) [29], mass-average molar mass \(M_w\), number-average molar mass \(M_n\), and polydispersity (PD) of the PDLLA samples used in the present study. The sample code is adapted from [29].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>IV (dL g⁻¹)</th>
<th>(M_w) (kDa)</th>
<th>(M_n) (kDa)</th>
<th>PD (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R203S</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R205S</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R207S</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Instrumentation**

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC):** DSC was employed for calorimetric analysis of L–L phase separation temperatures of PDLLA/DEET systems. Measurements were performed using a calibrated heat-flux DSC 1 from Mettler-Toledo, operated in conjunction with a Huber TC100 intracooler. The furnace was purged with nitrogen gas at a flow rate of 60 mL min⁻¹. Samples were taken from freshly prepared solutions while stirred in the reactor block at 50 °C, using a spatula to transfer a droplet with a mass of 30–35 mg into 40 µL aluminum pans, which then were closed with an aluminum lid. The solutions were heated to 50 °C and kept at this temperature for 2 min, before cooling at a rate of 5 K min⁻¹. Samples were used multiple times, with absence of evaporation of the solvent checked after each cooling scan, by measuring the sample mass.

**Cloud point measurement:** PDLLA/DEET solutions were put in open 40 µL Mettler-Toledo aluminum crucibles and cooled from 50 to -40 °C at a rate of 1 K min⁻¹, using a calibrated Mettler-Toledo DSC 1 as a temperature controller. The measuring cell was purged with nitrogen gas at a flow rate of 60 mL min⁻¹ and was covered with a transparent lid allowing
observation of the sample using a Navitar objective lens and a digital camera. Images were captured every 30 s, which corresponds a temperature resolution of 0.5 K. All cloud point measurements were repeated at least twice to gain information about the reproducibility of the results.

Results and discussion

Cloud points of PDLLA/DEET solutions were analyzed using a DSC with a transparent furnace lid during cooling at a rate of 1 K min\(^{-1}\) from 50 to -40 °C. As an example, Figure 1 shows selected photographs taken at different temperatures between 10 °C and -30 °C on samples containing 10 m% PDLLA with mass-average molar masses of 49 kDa (top row), 177 kDa (center row), and 262 kDa (bottom row). The images taken at 10 °C reveal the presence of homogeneous solutions for all samples containing PDLLA of different molar mass, as the base of the aluminum pan employed as a container is clearly visible; note that the sample height/liquid level is about 2 mm. With decreasing temperature, the PDLLA/DEET solutions gradually turn cloudy/non-transparent, proving L–L phase separation. Most important, however, is the observation that the temperature of L–L demixing decreases with decreasing molar mass. For the samples containing PDLLA with molar masses of 49, 177, and 262 kDa, first sign of turbidity is detected at temperatures of, roughly, -15, 0, and 5 °C, respectively.

\[\text{Figure 1: Optical macrographs taken on samples of the system PDLLA/DEET during cooling at 1 K min}^{-1}. \text{ The polymer concentration is 10 m%. With the top, center, and bottom rows are represented cooling experiments (to be read from right to left) using PDLLA with mass-average molar masses of 49, 177, and 262 kDa, respectively.}\]
Quantitative analysis of the turbidity of samples/images as shown in Figure 1 was done using the NIH-ImageJ 1.51 software [31]. Grayscale histograms were obtained for a pre-defined rectangular area of the image (see Figure 1, top left image), and evaluated regarding the width ($w$) of the histogram. The latter was defined as the difference between the minimum and maximum non-zero grayscale value. For clear samples, large values of the histogram-width were obtained while for opaque samples the distribution was narrow. The values of the histogram-width associated to a specific cooling experiment were 0,1-normalized ($w_{\text{norm}}$), and a turbidity index was then defined as $(1 - w_{\text{norm}})$, plotted as a function of temperature in Figure 2 for samples of the system containing PDLLA with a mass-average molar mass of 177 kDa. The data of Figure 2 reveal an onset of turbidity between 0 and 5 °C for samples containing 10 m\% or lesser amounts of PDLLA, and with increasing concentration of PDLLA the temperature of first sign of turbidity on cooling the solution at a rate of 1 K min$^{-1}$ decreases to a value of close to -10 °C in case of the sample containing 30 m\% PDLLA. Such curves have been obtained for all systems of the present study containing PDLLA of different molar mass, with the onset of turbidity defined as temperature of L–L demixing, as recommended in the literature [32, 33].

**Figure 2:** Turbidity index of the system PDLLA/DEET as a function of temperature. The mass-average molar mass of the polymer was 177 kDa. Data were extracted from images captured during cooling the initial solution at 1 K min$^{-1}$ (see also Figure 1). The different data sets were obtained on samples of different polymer content between 2.5 and 30 m\%, as indicated in the legend.
It is worthwhile noting that demixing temperatures were additionally measured on cooling at 1, 5, 10, and 20 K min\(^{-1}\). This, however, led only to a minor decrease of the transition temperature with increasing cooling rate; dissolution temperatures obtained on subsequent heating revealed the expected demixing/dissolution hysteresis [22, 34, 35].

Demixing of PDLLA/DEET solutions was furthermore analyzed by DSC. Figure 3 shows DSC curves recorded on cooling solutions of different content of PDLLA with a mass-average molar mass of 262 kDa (upper set of curves) and of samples containing 20 m\% PDLLA of different molar mass of 49 (blue), 177 (green), and 262 kDa (red) (lower set of curves) at a rate of 5 K min\(^{-1}\) from 50 to \(-50^\circ\text{C}\). L–L demixing of solutions is an exothermic transition which is detected in the DSC scans of Figure 3 with the upward directed small peak [36–38], being proportional in area to the PDLLA concentration. The onset of the transition/demixing is rather well defined, with the concentration dependence and molar-mass dependence emphasized with the gray lines in the upper and lower part of the Figure, respectively. As such the data in the upper part of Figure 3 suggest that the temperature of L–L demixing passes through a maximum at a concentration of 5 m\% PDLLA, with a distinct decrease of the demixing temperature on increasing the polymer concentration. However, the DSC data obtained on samples containing a constant amount of PDLLA of 20 m\% in the solutions, shown in the lower part of Figure 3, demonstrate that the temperature of L–L demixing significantly decreases with decreasing molar mass.

While the onset of demixing on cooling the solutions is straightforwardly detected by the sharp deviation from the heat-capacity baseline, the end of the transition is less well defined. We assume that with decreasing temperature in the two-phase area of the phase diagram the concentration of PDLLA and DEET in the two liquid phases is changing, causing minor exothermic heat flow until the system is eventually vitrifying at the glass transition temperature of the liquid phase containing more PDLLA. The glass transition temperatures of DEET and PDLLA are around -75 \(^{\circ}\text{C}\) [39] and around 50 \(^{\circ}\text{C}\), respectively, with the latter slightly decreasing with decreasing molar mass according to the Fox-Flory equation [40], from 53 to 43 \(^{\circ}\text{C}\) in case of the PDLLA’s with a number-average molar masses of 169 and 24 kDa, respectively. With the knowledge of the glass transition temperatures of the components of the systems, prediction of vitrification with the assumption of a specific mixing rule is possible. In fact, the DSC curves of Figure 3 show a change of the curvature at low temperature which could be due to the glass transition. An in-depth analysis, however, is
beyond the scope of the present study. As in case of cloud-point analyses, DSC curves were collected at various cooling rates between 1 and 20 K min$^{-1}$ with the L–L demixing temperatures remained unaffected [25].

![DSC cooling curves](image)

**Figure 3:** DSC cooling curves obtained on samples of the system PDLLA/DEET. In the upper part are shown data collected on samples containing different content of PDLLA with a mass-average molar mass of 262 kDa while in the lower part are shown data of samples containing 20 m% PDLLA of different mass-average molar mass of 49 (blue), 177 (green), and 262 kDa (red). Exothermic heat flow is directed upwards. Data were collected at a rate of temperature change of 5 K min$^{-1}$. The upper part is adapted from [14], Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier.

Temperatures of demixing of PDLLA/DEET solution are plotted as a function of the concentration of PDLLA in Figure 4. The blue, green, and red symbols/lines refer to systems containing PDLLA with mass-average molar masses of 49, 177, and 262 kDa, respectively. Squares and triangles refer to data which were obtained by cloud-point measurements (see Figures 1 and 2) and DSC (Figure 3) on cooling at rates of 1 and 5 K min$^{-1}$, respectively. The data of Figure 4 reveal UCST behavior for all systems, with the critical temperature and
concentration depending on the molar mass of the employed PDLLA. However, in all systems investigated, demixing occurs at subambient temperature, regardless of the molar mass and PDLLA content; at room temperature all systems are homogeneous. This notwithstanding, the critical temperature increases and the critical concentration decreases systematically with increasing molar mass, revealing enhanced thermodynamic miscibility for PDLLA of low molar mass. This observation resembles fundamental studies about the effect of molar mass of the polymer component on the thermodynamic miscibility of polymer/solvent systems, and is assumed to be related to the entropy of mixing [20–23]. In other words, the upward shift of the phase-coexistence curves with increasing molar mass of the PDLLA component is not expected to be caused by a change in the enthalpy of mixing.

Figure 4: Demixing temperatures as a function of the concentration of PDLLA in binary mixtures with DEET. The blue, green, and red symbols/lines refer to systems containing PDLLA with mass-average molar masses of 49, 177, and 262 kDa, respectively. Data were obtained by cloud-point measurements (squares) and DSC (triangles) on cooling at rates of 1 and 5 K min\(^{-1}\), respectively. The gray line represents the expected upper limit of the glass transition temperature of solutions (\(T_{g,\text{solution}}\)) when assuming a linear mixing rule and the star-symbols the expected system-vitrification temperatures.

The gray line in Figure 4 represents the expected upper limit of the glass transition temperature of solutions (\(T_{g,\text{solution}}\)) when assuming a linear mixing rule; for simplicity it is
drawn between -75 °C \( (T_{g, \text{DEET}}) \) and 50 °C \( (T_{g, \text{PDLLA}}) \). It was inserted in order to predict the temperature at which the various systems containing PDLLA of different molar mass solidify by vitrification of the PDLLA-richer phase. On cooling PDLLA/DEET solutions, phase separation occurs when passing through the coexistence line, leading to the formation of two liquid phases/solutions. The PDLLA content in each of the two solutions depends on temperature and is given by intersection of the tie line with the phase transition curve. As such, with decreasing temperature, the PDLLA content in the PDLLA-richer liquid solution increases (see dashed arrows), and if the temperature is lower than given by the intersection of the demixing temperature with \( T_{g, \text{solution}} \) (star symbols) then vitrification of that phase occurs. As outlined above on discussion of the data of Figure 3, the change of the curvature of the heat-flow rate curves on cooling the various systems supports the prediction of a decrease of the system-vitrification temperature with decreasing molar mass of the polymer component.

It is assumed that the shift of coexistence curves in the phase diagram of the various systems to lower temperature on decreasing the molar mass of the polymer component (see Figure 4) is due to an entropic effect and not due to a change of the FH-interaction parameter \( \chi \). In order to prove/disprove this assumption, \( \chi \)-values for each of the three investigated systems were calculated. The approach suggested by Lloyd [41] was followed based on the relationships between the temperature-dependent tie-line phase compositions in the two-phase area of the phase diagram to the interaction parameter according to equation (1):

\[
[(\phi_2^p)^2 - (\phi_2^s)^2] \chi = \ln \left( \frac{1 - \phi_2^p}{1 - \phi_2^s} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) (\phi_2^p - \phi_2^s)
\]

\[
v[(1 - \phi_2^p)^3 - (1 - \phi_2^s)^3] \chi - \ln \left( \frac{\phi_2^p}{\phi_2^s} \right) + (v - 1)(\phi_2^p - \phi_2^s)
\]

In equations (1a) and (1b), \( \phi_2^p \) and \( \phi_2^s \) are the polymer-volume fractions of the polymer-richer and polymer-poorer phases, respectively, and \( \nu \) is the ratio of the molar volume of the polymer to that of the solvent. In order to evaluate \( \chi \), first the mass fraction of the polymer component in the system was converted in volume fraction, using PDLLA and DEET densities of 1.250 g cm\(^{-3} \) \( (\rho_{\text{PDLLA}}) \) [42, 43] and 0.998 g cm\(^{-3} \) \( (\rho_{\text{DEET}}) \) [30], respectively. The molar volume of PDLLA was calculated by dividing the number-average molar mass (see
Table 1) with PDLLA and the molar mass of DEET of 191 g mol$^{-1}$ with PDLLA, yielding $\alpha$-values of 101, 336, and 707 for the systems containing PDLLA with number-average molar masses of 24, 80, and 169 kDa, respectively. For each system, the interaction parameter was estimated at four temperatures, covering a temperature range of roughly 10 K, with the composition of the PDLLA content in the various phases estimated by the interpolation of data points of Figure 4. The interaction parameter is then plotted as a function of the inverse of the absolute temperature in Figure 5, revealing that in the temperature-range between the critical temperature and the vitrification temperature of the system $\chi$ is around 0.6 and that it slightly decreases with increasing temperature. All data points obtained on the various systems containing PDLLA of different molar mass fit a linear relationship, confirming that the different demixing temperatures (Figure 4) are due to a decrease in the entropy of mixing with increase in the PDLLA molar mass.

**Figure 5:** Interaction parameter $\chi$ of the polymer/solvent system PDLLA/DEET as a function of the inverse of the absolute temperature. The different symbols represent data associated to PDLLA with mass-average molar masses of 49, 177, and 262 kDa, respectively, and color-coding is in agreement with Figure 4. Only data calculated using equation (1a) are shown since equation (1b) yielded almost identical results.
Conclusions

In this study the phase behavior of solvent-rich binary mixtures of non-crystallizable racemic poly (lactic acid) (PLA) and the mosquito-repellent/drug \(N,N\)-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET) was analyzed regarding the effect of the polymer molar mass on the liquid-liquid (L–L) phase separation characteristics. Cloud-point measurements, employing a DSC for temperature control and a specific imaging system, as well as classical DSC analyses revealed that the PLA/DEET system shows a subambient upper critical solution temperature (UCST), with the critical temperature decreasing and critical polymer concentration increasing with decreasing molar mass of PLA. The obtained L–L phase separation curves were used to estimate the temperature-dependence of the Flory-Huggins interaction parameter, which suggests that the enhanced miscibility of PLA and DEET on decreasing the polymer molar mass is due to an increased entropy of mixing.

Acknowledgments

Financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (Grant AN 212/22) is greatly acknowledged. We thank Evonik Nutrition & Care GmbH for kind supply of PDLLA Resomer R207S.
References


